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ABSTRACT
The purpose of this study is to determine how big the influence of the indicators of factors that affect the Human Development Index. In addition, to determine the relationship of indicators of factors that affect the Human Development Index, to determine the development of human development of variables. That way can provide a standard against a State in improving the quality of human resources. Using the SPSS application method, this paper found that the variables that significantly influence the indicators of factors affecting the Human Development Index are life expectancy index, education index and income index. The estimation result using Correlasion Pearson shows that 14.788% is the variation of each observation is the same.
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INTRODUCTION

Human Development Index is one of the standard for a State in viewing the quality of human resources. The Human Development Index (HDI) has several measures of status or classification. Given these measures raises indicators in the factors affecting the Human Development Index. These indicators will determine how the quality of a country's human resources.

The Human Development Index itself was first published by UNDP through the 1996 Human Development Report, which then continues each year. In this publication human development is defined as "a process of enlarging people’s choices" or a process
that improves society's aspects of life. This most important aspect of life is seen from a healthy age and healthy life, adequate educational level, and decent standard of living. (Mohammad Bhakti Setiawan & Abdul Hakim, page 19).

Regarding UNDP says about the most important aspect of life, that aspect must be considered for a State. This is because if one of the most important aspects of life is not balanced with other aspects, the country automatically is still lacking in the quality of its natural resources. So is the Human Development Index does not stand alone in determining the quality of a country's resources. Necessary indicators to facilitate a State in analyzing the development of human development.

Explanation of indicators of factors affecting the Human Development Index as follows:
1. Life expectancy index

Life expectancy index shows the number of years of life that is expected to be enjoyed by residents of a region. Incorporating information on the number of births and deaths per year of variable 0 is expected to reflect the average length of life as well as community duplexes. Due to the difficulty of getting information from people who have died over a certain period of time, the use of indirect methods (Brass method, varianTrussel) is used to reduce life expectancy.

The basic data required in this method is the average of live birth children and the average child is still alive from the ever married woman. The process of calculating life expectancy is provided by the Mortpak program. One way is to standardize life expectancy against its maximum and minimum values.

2. Education Index

Calculation of Education Index (IP) includes two indicators namely literacy rate (Lit) and average school (MYS). Population in use is population aged 15 years and over because in reality the population aged there are already those who quit school. These limits are necessary to reflect the actual conditions in view of the fact that the population less than 15 years old is still in the process of being school or going to school so it is not appropriate for the average length of school.
These two indicators of education are raised in the hope of reflecting the level of knowledge (a reflection of Lit numbers), where Lit is the proportion of the literate population in a whole population population. While the reflection of the number MYS.

3. Purchasing Power Parity / PPP

To measure the dimensions of decent living standards (purchasing power), UNDP uses an indicator known as real per capita GDP adjusted. For subnational (provincial or district / city) HDI calculations do not use per capita GDP, because per capita GDP only measures the production of a region and does not reflect the real purchasing power of the community which is the concern of IPM. To measure the purchasing power of the population among provinces in Indonesia, BPS uses the average data on consumption of 27 selected commodities from the National Socio-Economic Survey (SUSENAS) which is considered the most dominant in consumption by Indonesians and has been standardized to be comparable between regions and between time-adjusted with a PPP index.

One of the researchers who examined the Indicators of factors affecting HDI is Gustav Ranis & Frances Stewart (2005). In a follow-up study, Ranis and Stewart expanded the scope of the study to cover almost all countries in the world where UNDP has been recorded in terms of its human development index (IPM). Factors affecting the human development index (HDI), they use explanatory variables of GDP per capita growth rate (GDP), literacy shortfall reduction (LIT), percentage of gross domestic investment (GDP) per GDP (gross domestic investment as percentage of GDP = GDI), percent of exports to GDP (exports as percentage of GDP = EXP), poverty headcount (POV) and dummy for the Middle East region (dME), Asia and Latin America (dAL). Research conducted on 85 countries in the world. The results of their research estimate as shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Results of Research Estimation Gustav Ranis & Frances Stewart (2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variabel Independen</th>
<th>Variabel Dependen: IPM</th>
<th>Koefisien</th>
<th>t-rasio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.81**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIT</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDI</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.39*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POV</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>4.94**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dME</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dAS</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3.14**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dAL</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.40*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konstanta</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>3.77**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj R²</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Coefficients significant at a rate of 5%
**Coefficients significant at the rate of 1%

The estimation results show that not all independent variables are significant to human development index (HDI). Only the variable of economic growth (GDP), gross domestic investment (GDI) and the number of poor (POV) are significant, excluding dummy variables. This model has the disadvantage of including an explanatory variable of the literacy rate, where that variable is a component of the HDI composite. In addition, GDP and GDI variables may not be included together because GDI is part of GDP (estimation error due to multicolinearity).

**METHODS**

This data source uses the original secondary data ie data sources released by BPS, BAPPEDA, UNDP and related recordswith research from the Central Bureau of Statistics in several publications.Serial data used in this study was limited to taking the components of the Human Development Index in Indonesia in 2010 using the Pearson Correlation Model SPP application, it can show the analysis of indicators of factors that affect the Human Development Index.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

1. Results

The results of data analysis on the factors that influence the Human Development Index in the form of tables as follows.
1) $H_0$ & $H_1$ hypothesis formulation:

1. $H_0$: There is no relationship between Life expectancy Index against Human development Index.

   $H_1$: There is a significant relationship between life expectancy index on human development index.

Hypothesis testing:
If the probability value $(p) < 0.005$ means that $H_0$ is rejected, $H_1$ is accepted
If the probability value $(p) \geq 0.005$ means $H_0$ accepted, $H_1$ is rejected.

From the correlation table in the attachment 3.1 can be tested the hypothesis is obtained significant value of life expectancy index (probability value) = 0.001, then the hypothesis test is 0.001 < 0.005 then $H_0$ rejected, $H_1$ accepted which means that there is influence of income index on human development index.

2. $H_0$: There is no correlation between education indices to human development index.
**H₁**: There is a significant correlation between education index to human development index

Hypothesis testing:
If the probability value (p) <0.005 means that Ho is rejected, Hi is accepted
If the probability value (p) ≥ 0.005 means Ho accepted, Hi is rejected

Analysis of data on the calculation of HDI SPSS program obtained that the correlation table shows the significant value of education index is 0.000. Then the hypothesis test is 0.000 <0.005 then Ho is rejected, Hi accepted. This means there is an influence of educational index on human development index.

3. **H₀**: There is no relationship between income index against Human development index.
   **H₁**: There is a significant relationship between income Index on Human development Index.

Hypothesis testing:
If the probability value (p) <0.005, meaning Ho is rejected, Hi accepted
If the probability value (p) ≥ 0.005, meaning Ho accepted, Hi rejected

Analysis of data of IPM calculation on SPSS program obtained that from correlation table significant value of income index is 0.003, then probability value (p) <0.005 = 0.003 <0.005 Ho rejected, Hi accepted. Thus it can be hypothesized that there is influence of income index on human development index.

Formulation of the R-Squer Change Model hypothesis

4. **H₀**: There is no relationship between Life expectancy Index against Human development Index.
   **H₁**: There is a significant relationship between life expectancy index on human development index

Hypothesis testing:
If significant value F arithmetic <0.005 (F table), it means Ho rejected, Hi accepted
If significant value F arithmetic ≥ 0.005 (F table), meaning Ho accepted, Hi rejected

From the table it is found that the significant value of F is 0.000. So here 0.000 <0.005 means Ho rejected, Hi accepted. Thus it can be hypothesized that there is influence of life expectancy index, education index, and income index on human development.
development index. The value of p-value obtained gives a result greater than 5% i.e. 14.788%, so it can be concluded that the variation of each observation is the same.

2. Discussion

The quality of the population can measure the Human Development Index. Factors affecting the Human Development Index are life expectancy index, education index and income index. By identifying the index, a benchmark can be used to measure. IPM figures provide a high level of achievement of activities undertaken by the state or region. The higher the value of HDI means the country/region, showing the achievement of human development the better. Supported by analysis using SPSS method, that is life expectancy index, education index and index, have Human Development Index factor. With the result of p-value obtained obtained gives bigger result of 5% that is 14.788%, can be accessed every same person.

Supported by previous research by researchers such as Ayomi Surya (2014), Yamin (2017), Ernany (2016) et al showed that "The three indicators include life expectancy index, education index and income index affecting Human Development Index". One of the researchers examined the analysis of Human Development Index in Madiun City in 2003-2012. Based on the results obtained indicate that "the poverty level and open unemployment rate in SWP Madiun and surrounding areas greatly affect the growth of hotel and trade sector".

CONCLUSION

This study found that the existence of variables that significantly influence the indicators of factors affecting the Human Development Index are life expectancy index, education index and income index. The estimation result using Correlasion Pearson shows that 14.788% is the variation of each observation is the same. This proves that there is a relationship between each other between one variable with another variable.
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