

# First Year Pre-Service Teachers' Self-Perception of Digital and Scientific Literacy: Implication for Course Design

**Shefa Dwijayanti Ramadani<sup>1,</sup> Ayu Lestari<sup>2</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Biology Education, Universitas Tidar, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Science Education, Universitas Tidar, Indonesia

## Article Info

Article history: Received May 4, 2024 Revised June 20, 2024 Accepted June 22, 2024

*Keywords: (A-Z)* Digital literacy Scientific literacy 21st century skills

### ABSTRACT

Teachers in the 21st century are expected to be mastering 21st century skills, so pre-service teachers need to be prepared to become professional teachers starting from the education period while studying at university. Mastery of digital and scientific literacy is considered to be the core of the literacy required in 21st century education. This research is a quantitative study using the survey method. The subject of this research is first year science education students at a university in Magelang, totaling 141 students. Descriptive statistics were employed to investigate the digital and scientific literacy. Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that pre-service teachers' self-perception of digital and scientific literacy are in the medium category, which means that there is a need to develop effective course designs for promoting both literacies among preservice teachers in order to support the quality of teacher education in Indonesia.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



*Corresponding Author:* Shefa Dwijayanti Ramadani, Biology Education, Universitas Tidar Jalan Kapten Suparman 39 Magelang 56116, Indonesia Email: <u>shefa@untidar.ac.id</u>

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The 21st century is characterized by the rapid development of information and communication technology (ICT) and brings changes in all aspects, including education. The 21st century education framework emphasises the need to be mastering 21st century skills that are expected to help improve academic achievement in schools and adapt to the changing and evolving times (Partnership for 21st Century Learning, 2019; Tindowen et al., 2017). 21st century skills refer to professional skills in the era of globalization and digitalization, which are broken down into several broad categories including: 1) learning and innovation skills (critical thinking, creative thinking, communication, and collaboration), 2) information, media, and technology skills, and 3) life and career skills (Borowski Teresa, 2019; Gelen, 2018; Kereluik et al., 2013).

Teachers in the 21st century are expected to be mastering 21st century skills so it is necessary to prepare to become professional teachers starting from the education period of pre-service teachers while studying at the university (Lestari & Rahayu, 2023; Muis, 2021). Mastery of information and communication technology skills is considered one of the important factors in mastering 21st century skills (Mahmud & Wong, 2022; Valtonen et al., 2017), because its use has a positive impact on learning outcomes (Latip et al., 2022; Soeprijanto et al., 2022). However, recent findings show that the use of information technology is not yet enough to improve the quality of learning, so digital literacy is needed to achieve this goal (Zeng et al., 2022). Apart from the use of technology, there are two other important aspects, namely conceptual skills and operational competences, which are basic prerequisites for students to be fully involved in digital contexts at the social, cultural and professional levels (Miranda et al., 2018). Digital literacy is believed by many educators to be at the core of literacy, not just seen as a supplementary element in lesson planning and implementation (Cassidy et al., 2016). In addition, learning in the 21st century also emphasises the need for scientific literacy as one of the skills that need to be mastered from the 16 skills identified by the World Economic Forum (WEFUSA, 2015).

Digital literacy refers to a conceptual framework that combines skills in using technology with information literacy skills in the process of searching, acquiring, combining, organising, presenting and evaluating information in the context of a digital environment (Kaeophanuek et al., 2018; Meyers et al., 2013). Meanwhile, scientific literacy refers to the skill of making decisions about problems in everyday life and considering the development of technological advances, information, and science (Suwono et al., 2022). These

skills include understanding the nature of science, information management skills, and communicating and collaborating with others (Ke et al., 2021).

The importance of digital literacy and scientific literacy in 21st century education requires student teachers to master them to help the process of thinking, learning, communicating, working together, and working with their respective creativity as a provision for entering the world of work. However, various studies show that students' digital and scientific literacy are still low. In Indonesia, especially the province of Yogyakarta, research on the digital literacy of upper secondary students shows that students' digital literacy skills are still at a very low level and are influenced by their level of education (Perdana et al., 2019). This also evidenced by students' failure to utilize technology for academic improvement, despite having a tech-savvy background (Tewari & Birla, 2018). Rizal et al. (2020) reported that the digital literacy of first-semester students in aspects of data and digital information, as well as digital content creation, is in a low category. Meanwhile, for the communication and collaboration aspect, competency is in the medium category. Another study shows that the digital literacy of students in the third year in the aspect of functional skills and beyond is at a very good level, while in the other seven aspects, it is in the good category (Dinata, 2021).

On the other hand, research examining digital literacy skills of pre-service biology teachers shows that students' overall scientific literacy is moderate (Adi et al., 2020). Other research investigates the scientific literacy levels of prospective elementary school teachers in the first and fourth years of teacher preparation programs show that students at both levels have low levels of scientific literacy (Cavas et al., 2013). Regarding this condition, researchers seem to have reached the context that prospective teachers with low levels of scientific literacy cannot be expected to grow scientifically literate individuals or implement the science curriculum effectively (Al Sultan et al., 2018)

This condition is in line with the results of observations on pre-service science teachers during teaching and learning in a university, especially in the first year of study. The need to improve students' digital literacy is indicated by the lack of students' abilities related to the ability to identify the information needed, the ability to choose quality information sources on digital media, the ability to convey the information obtained to others, the ability to rewrite the information obtained in their own language, and the ability to quote online references ethically using the correct format. Another thing that was observed was the variety of misconceptions in basic science concepts and the weak ability of students to interpret scientific data and information presented during the learning process.

Based on the description above, improving digital and scientific literacy for pre-service teachers is needed to improve the quality of education in the 21st century. However, information related to the pre-service teacher's self-perception of the two literacies is limited, so further identification is needed to give valuable insights into the development of effective teacher education course design. Thus, the purpose of this study is to describe the pre-service teachers' self-perception of digital and scientific literacy in their first year and first semester of their program at a university.

### 2. RESEARCH METHOD

This research was a descriptive quantitative study that uses online survey method to describe pre-service teachers' perception of digital and scientific literacy. The subjects of this research were four classes of first-year students of Science Education department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education at a university in Magelang, Central Java. The total number of students surveyed online in 2023 was 141 students using total population sampling technique. Digital literacy and scientific literacy data were measured by a self-assessment questionnaire instrument using a 5-point rating on a Likert scale. This measurement was chosen because according to researchers, one of the most accurate ways to assess someone's digital literacy skills is by asking them to carry out a self-evaluation (Chinien & Boutin, 2011). The digital literacy instrument was modified from previous research and contained 15 statements in 3 (three) digital literacy domains, namely technical, cognitive, and socio-emotional (Suwono et al., 2022). The scientific literacy instrument was also modified from previous research and contained 30 statements in 5 (five) domains, namely the nature and function of science, habits of mind, interest in science, the teaching of scientific literacy, and ethics in science (Baharuddin et al., 2021). The questionnaire data obtained was then analyzed in three stages, namely data reduction, data display, and drawing conclusions (Miles & Huberman, 1994). The results of the data analysis were described in 3 (three) qualitative categories, namely low, medium, and high (Igbokwe, 2016).

Table 1. Qualitative Categories Digital and Scientific literacy

Persentase (%)	Kategori
<60	Low
60 - 79	Medium
$\geq 80$	High

*First Year Pre-Service Teachers' Self-Perception of Digital and Scientific Literacy: Implication for Course Design (Shefa Dwijayanti Ramadani)* 

## 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

-

The results of this study are pre-service teachers' perceptions of digital and scientific literacy obtained from the analysis of survey data using a 5-point rating on a Likert scale. The following are the results of the data analysis presented in Table 2 and Table 3.

Digital Literacy Indicators	Percentage	Description
Technical domain		
1. I use digital technology and devices to support learning	84,25	High
2. I am competent in using application software such as email, search engines and web browsers	71,49	Medium
<ol> <li>I know how to solve technical problems related to digital technology tools</li> </ol>	54,18	Low
4. I keep up to date with the digital tools and applications used in	71,91	Medium
learning 5. I am familiar with the process of uploading, downloading and installing amplications	78,30	Medium
installing applications Average	72,03	Medium
Cognitive domain	12,05	Meulum
<ul><li>6. I am familiar with online resources such as websites and online databases</li></ul>	73,62	Medium
7. I can browse, search and use information from trusted online databases	62,98	Medium
8. I know when to change my search strategy or stop searching when using trusted websites and online databases	64,54	Medium
9. I evaluate the credibility of digital information before using it	60,57	Medium
10. I can integrate information coming from different online sources to solve problems	68,09	Medium
Average	65,96	Medium
Socio-emotional Domain	,	
11. I include the citation/information of the owner of the information whose ideas I used	70,50	Medium
12. I am aware of the consequences of using someone else's copyrighted work without permission	81,70	High
13. I share files such as documents, videos and audios legally with others	65,53	Medium
14. I am able to work online with my peers to find a solution to a problem	75,32	Medium
15. I comply with etiquette and social norms appropriate for online communication	84,11	High
Average	75,43	Medium

Tahla 2	Student	norcontions	of digital	litoracy
1 abic 2.	Student	perceptions	of uightai	interacy

Table 3. Student	Perceptions	of Scientific	Literacy
Table 5. Studen	i i ciceptions	of Scientific	Literacy

Scie	ntific Literacy Indicators	Percentage	Description	
The	The nature and function of science			
1.	Science has a significant relationship with human life	89,36	High	
2.	Science is influenced by civilisation and local culture	81,13	High	
3.	Scientific research should improve human life	84,11	High	
4.	The use of science and technology always involves solving social problems	76,45	Medium	
5.	If a scientific problem is very complicated and there is no clear solution, it is necessary to revisit and examine the causes of the complexity of the problem	86,95	High	
6.	Studying science can develop one's spirituality	74,33	Medium	
	Average	82,05	High	
Hab	its of mind			
7.	When studying a natural phenomenon, I try to find patterns or sequences of data	71,06	Medium	
8.	I analyse data carefully to formulate correct conclusions	82,41	High	
9.	When studying a natural phenomenon, I seek related information from a variety of sources	81,13	High	
10.	I develop or use existing scientific procedures to explain a natural	75,03	Medium	

*First Year Pre-Service Teachers' Self-Perception of Digital and Scientific Literacy: Implication for Course Design (Shefa Dwijayanti Ramadani)* 

11	phenomenon	77.00	
11.	When studying a natural phenomenon, I compare and evaluate	77,02	Medium
	information to determine which is the most appropriate		
	Average	77,33	Medium
	rest in science		
	I consider science an important subject to teach	84,25	High
	I have a strong motivation to learn science	75,74	Medium
14.	I have a positive attitude towards science because it involves teaching interesting material	78,01	Medium
15.	Science helps everyone to have a better life	88,23	High
	Science helps me to understand the phenomena happening around	85,53	High
	me		0
	Average	82,35	High
The	teaching of scientific literacy		
	I always discuss with my peers and lecturers when learning science	75,32	Medium
18.	I do lab work/experiments/research projects in science learning in	82,84	High
	class		
19.	I use technology to support my learning process	86,38	High
20.	The science learning process that I receive, helps me in making	77,16	Medium
	decisions		
21.	The science learning process that I receive, helps me in making	75,74	Medium
	decisions		
	Average	79,49	Medium
Ethi	cs in science		
22.	The study of science does not need to be done systematically	71,63	Medium
23.	The study of science does not need to be linked to global impacts	69,08	Medium
24.	The study of science does not need to be linked to other research	70,35	Medium
	findings	·	
25.	When reviewing science, I sometimes skip or omit research	66,67	Medium
	procedures	,	
	Average	69,43	Medium
	5	,	

Based on the results of data analysis in Table 2, it shows that in general, the digital literacy of science education students is categorized as medium, both in the technical domain, cognitive domain, and socialemotional domain. Furthermore, an indicator of the technical domain is categorized as low, relating to the ability to overcome technical problems related to digital technology tools. In the cognitive domain, the percentage of indicators related to the ability to evaluate the credibility of digital information is 60.57%, which means that the percentage is close to the low category. In the social-emotional domain, the overall category is medium, with two indicators that have been categorized as high. This means that there is a need to improve students' abilities in all three domains of scientific literacy. These abilities include mastery of technical and operational aspects to use digital tools to solve problems in everyday life, especially in learning activities; the ability to use digital tools responsibly in communicating, socialising and learning (Ng, 2012).

Education in the 21st century requires teachers who are ready for the digital era so that students as future teachers need to have digital readiness to teach with the required competencies. Digital readiness is closely related to digital literacy which includes four categories, namely a) basic level readiness (information gathering and processing); b) advanced level readiness (information management and evaluation); c) teamwork readiness (teamwork); and d) ethical readiness (integrity awareness and social responsibility) (Horrigan, 2016). Preservice teachers are expected to be able to master digital literacy since mastery of digital literacy is believed to help teachers in developing learning and assessment in the classroom (Widana, 2020). In addition, digital literacy is also considered to play an important role in entrepreneurship, creativity, and innovation, which are needed in this era (Martin & Grudziecki, 2006).

Pre-service teachers who are mostly young people have a tendency to be open to technology (Seibert, 2021). However, sometimes they experience problems such as lack of concentration, interaction, and socialisation, which causes 21st century skills not to be achieved optimally (Karakas et al., 2015). First-year undergraduate students generally experience challenges in utilising digital technologies that support learning (Umar et al., 2019). This condition may be the reason why digital literacy has not been achieved optimally, so various efforts are needed to overcome these problems.

Based on the results of data analysis in Table 3, it shows that in general the scientific literacy of science education students is categorized as medium with an average percentage of 78.64%. High-category domains are

the domain of the nature and function of science and the domain of interest in science. Meanwhile, mediumcategory domains are the domain of habits of mind, the domain of the teaching of scientific literacy, and the domain of ethics in science. All domains contribute to scientific literacy, but several studies have shown that the nature and function domain has a low contribution to scientific literacy (Suwono et al., 2022). This means that there is a need to emphasise the mastery of other domains of scientific literacy, even though the nature and function of science domain has reached a high category.

Improving scientific literacy for pre-service teachers is needed to support 21st century education in the era of the industrial revolution, which is the development of the integration of science and technology (Ibda, 2018). A possible obstacle in mastering scientific literacy is the learning process that has not involved the science process (Antika & Marpaung, 2023). Traditional lecture-based learning, commonly employed in schools, exemplifies an educational approach that does not incorporate scientific process skills. This design neglects the essential competencies that students must develop, encompassing a broad array of skills used by scientists in their work and the abilities necessary for solving scientific problems. These skills include asking questions, observing, measuring, using numbers, classifying, predicting, identifying and interpreting evidence, communicating, and explaining conclusions,. (Li et al., 2024; Simamora et al., 2020). This will have an impact on the ability to understand concepts and the ability to interpret scientific data and information presented during the learning process.

The teaching and learning experience can be enhanced by providing students with opportunities to access digital information and technology, collaborate with others, and solve problems. A study by Falloon (2020) emphasizes the importance of preparing pre-service teacher students to use digital technologies for educational purposes, finding and managing digital information, engaging in online communication, and critically evaluating digital resources. Pre-service teachers need to be equipped with digital competencies to improve teaching and learning experiences, both traditional and online, in response to changes in the educational landscape shaped by digital innovation.

Several recommendations can be considered in designing effective learning design for teacher courses. First, lecturers need to consider that most first year students may not comprehensively understand the technicalities and purpose of using digital technology. Therefore, it is important to provide adequate support and guidance to improve preservice teachers' digital skills and knowledge. Second, learning should be supported by digital platforms that provide new experiences and challenges for prospective teacher students by using various digital technologies. Third, the fact that students have used digital technology and devices to support learning, and have good awareness of the appreciation of intellectual property which leads to plagiarism, it can be a good start because according to Prior et al. (2016) self efficacy, attitude and literacy interrelated. Fourth, to improve preservice teacher scientific literacy, learning design should involves active engagement, critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and a deeper understanding of scientific concepts through inquiry-based learning, hands-on experiments, real-world applications, and collaborative projects.

## 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this research, it can be concluded that pre-service teachers' perceptions of digital and scientific literacy are categorized as medium. This indicates that pre-service science teachers possess adequate provisions to address the demands of 21st-century education. However, there is a need to enhance both literacies to improve the quality of teacher education. This improvement is essential to enable pre-service teachers to facilitate meaningful science learning experiences for their students in the digital age.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Directorate of Research and Community Service, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, for supporting this research under contract number 173/E5/ PG.02.00.PL/2023 and the Institute for Research and Community Service (LPPM) at Universitas Tidar for facilitating the implementation of the research.

## 6. **REFERENCES**

- Adi, W. C., Saefi, M., & Rofi'ah, N. L. (2020). Scientific Literacy Skills Of Pre-Service Biology Teachers Based On Spent Years In University And Contributed Factors. Jurnal Biologi Dan Pembelajarannya, 18(2), 98–106.
- Al Sultan, A., Henson, H., & Fadde, P. J. (2018). Pre-service elementary teachers' scientific literacy and selfefficacy in teaching science. *IAFOR Journal of Education*, 6(1), 25–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.22492/ije.6.1.02</u>
- Antika, R. N., & Marpaung, R. R. T. (2023). Profil Literasi Sains dan Literasi Digital Mahasiswa Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang. ORYZA: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi, 12(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.33627/oz.v12i1.1051</u>

- Baharuddin, M. F., Masrek, M. N., Shuhidan, S. M., Razali, M. H. bin H., & Rahman, M. S. (2021). Evaluating the content validity of digital literacy instrument for school teachers in Malaysia through expert judgement. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 11(7), 71–78. https://doi.org/10.46338/ijetae0721\_09
- Borowski Teresa. (2019). Establishing Practical Social-Emotional Competence Assessment Work Group Partnership for 21st Century Learning (P21) Framework The Battelle for Kids P21 Framework for 21st Century Learning. <u>https://measuringsel.casel.org/</u>
- Cassidy, J., Ortlieb, E., & Grote-Garcia, S. (2016). Beyond the Common Core: Examining 20 Years of Literacy Priorities and Their Impact on Struggling Readers. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, 55(2), 91–104. https://doi.org/10.1080/19388071.2015.1136011
- Cavas, P. H., Ozdem, Y., Cavas, B., Cakiroglu, J., & Ertepinar, H. (2013). Turkish pre-service elementary science teachers' scientific literacy level and attitudes toward science. *Science Education International*, 24(4), 383–401.
- Chinien, C., & Boutin, F. (2011). Defining essential digital skills in the Canadian workplace: Final report.
- Dinata, K. B. (2021). Analisis kemampuan literasi digital mahasiswa Pendidikan Matematika Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan. *Edukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 19(1), 105–119. https://doi.org/10.31571/edukasi.v19i1.
- Falloon, G. (2020). From digital literacy to digital competence: the teacher digital competency (TDC) framework. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(5), 2449–2472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09767-4
- Gelen, I. (2018). ACADEMICIANS' PREDICTIONS OF 21 st CENTURY EDUCATION AND EDUCATION IN THE 21 st CENTURY. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 4(5), 165–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1233478</u>
- Horrigan, J. B. (2016). Digital Readiness Gaps. *Pew Research Center*, 1–29. http://www.pewinternet.org/2016/09/20/2016/Digital-Readiness-Gaps/
- Ibda, H. (2018). Penguatan Literasi Baru Pada Guru Madrasah Ibtidaiyah Dalam Menjawab Tantangan Era Revolusi Industri 4.0. *JRTIE: Journal of Research and Thought of Islamic Education*, 1(1), 1–21.
- Igbokwe, B. A. (2016). Environmental Literacy Assessment: Assessing the Strength of an Environmental Education Program (EcoSchools) in Ontario Secondary Schools for Environmental Literacy Acquisition. https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/etd
- Kaeophanuek, S., Jaitip, N.-S., & Nilsook, P. (2018). How to Enhance Digital Literacy Skills among Information Sciences Students. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 8(4), 292–297. <u>https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2018.8.4.1050</u>
- Karakas, F., Manisaligil, A., & Sarigollu, E. (2015). Management learning at the speed of life: Designing reflective, creative, and collaborative spaces for millenials. *International Journal of Management Education*, 13(3), 237–248. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2015.07.001</u>
- Ke, L., Sadler, T. D., Zangori, L., & Friedrichsen, P. J. (2021). Developing and Using Multiple Models to Promote Scientific Literacy in the Context of Socio-Scientific Issues. *Science and Education*, 30(3), 589– 607. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-021-00206-1</u>
- Kereluik, K., Mishra, P., Fahnoe, C., & Terry, L. (2013). What Knowledge Is of Most Worth: Teacher Knowledge for 21st Century Learning. *International Society for Technology in Education*, 29(4), 127– 140.
- Latip, A., Sutantri, N., & Hardinata, A. (2022). The effect of digital literacy on student learning outcomes in chemistry learning. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPA*, 8(2), 112–120. https://doi.org/10.21831/jipi.v8i2.40567
- Lestari, A., & Rahayu, D. R. (2023). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK): Survey Persepsi pada Mahasiswa Calon Guru IPA. *PENDIPA Journal of Science Education*, 7(1), 33–42. https://doi.org/10.33369/pendipa.7.1.33-42

- Li, X., Zhang, Y., Yu, F., Zhang, X., Zhao, X., & Pi, Z. (2024). Do science teachers' believes related to inquirybased teaching affect students' science process skills? Evidence from a multilevel model analysis. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, 6(1), 1–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s43031-023-00089-y</u>
- Mahmud, M. M., & Wong, S. F. (2022). Digital age: The importance of 21st century skills among the undergraduates. *Frontiers in Education*, 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.950553</u>
- Martin, A., & Grudziecki, J. (2006). DigEuLit: Concepts and Tools for Digital Literacy Development. *Innovation in Teaching and Learning in Information and Computer Sciences*, 5(4), 249–267. https://doi.org/10.11120/ital.2006.05040249
- Meyers, E. M., Erickson, I., & Small, R. V. (2013). Digital literacy and informal learning environments: An introduction. Learning, Media and Technology, 38(4), 355–367. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2013.783597</u>
- Miranda, P., Isaias, P., & Pifano, S. (2018). Digital Literacy in Higher Education: A Survey on Students' Selfassessment. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 10925 LNCS, 71–87. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91152-6\_6</u>
- Muis, A. (2021). Analisis Pengetahuan Tecknological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Calon Guru Biologi. Seminar Nasiona Hasil Penelitian, 1351–1358.
- Ng, W. (2012). Can we teach digital natives digital literacy? *Computers and Education*, 59(3), 1065–1078. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.04.016
- Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (2019). *Framework for 21st Century Learning*. https://www.battelleforkids.org/networks/p21/frameworks-resources
- Perdana, R., Yani, R., Jumadi, J., & Rosana, D. (2019). Assessing students' digital literacy skill in senior high school Yogyakarta. JPI (Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia), 8(2), 169–177.
- Prior, D. D., Mazanov, J., Meacheam, D., Heaslip, G., & Hanson, J. (2016). Internet and Higher Education Attitude , digital literacy and self ef fi cacy : Flow-on effects for online learning behavior. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 29, 91–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2016.01.001</u>
- Rizal, R., Rusdiana, D., Setiawan, W., & Siahaan, P. (2020). Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar The Digital Literacy of The First Semester Students in Physics Education Literasi Digital Mahasiswa Semester Satu pada Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika. 8, 101–110. https://doi.org/10.26618/jpf.v8i2.3293
- Seibert, S. A. (2021). Problem-based learning: A strategy to foster generation Z's critical thinking and perseverance. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing*, *16*(1), 85–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2020.09.002
- Simamora, A. B., Widodo, W., & Sanjaya, I. G. M. (2020). Innovative Learning Model: Improving The Students' Scientific Literacy Of Junior High School. *IJORER*: International Journal of Recent Educational Research, 1(3), 271–285. https://doi.org/10.46245/ijorer.v1i3.55
- Soeprijanto, S., Diamah, A., & Rusmono, R. (2022). The Effect Of Digital Literacy, Self-Awareness, And Career Planning On Engineering And Vocational Teacher Education Students' Learning Achievement. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 12(1), 172–190. <u>https://doi.org/10.3926/JOTSE.1434</u>
- Suwono, H., Maulidia, L., Saefi, M., Kusairi, S., & Yuenyong, C. (2022). The Development and Validation of an Instrument of Prospective Science Teachers' Perceptions of Scientific Literacy. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.29333/EJMSTE/11505</u>
- Tewari, S., & Birla, M. (2018). Digital Literacy of Faculty & Its Relationship with Teaching Learning: A Study on Student's Perspective. *Pacific Business Review International*, 11(6), 99–108. <u>www.pbr.co.in</u>
- Tindowen, D. J. C., Bassig, J. M., & Cagurangan, J. A. (2017). Twenty-First-Century Skills of Alternative Learning System Learners. SAGE Open, 7(3), 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244017726116</u>

- Umar, Hendra, & Jayanti, M. I. (2019). TINGKAT LITERASI DIGITAL MAHASISWA KEGURUAN DALAM MENGHADAPI ERA REVOLUSI INDUSTRI 4.0. Jurnal Pemikiran Keislaman Dan Kemanusiaan, 3(2), 188–202.
- Valtonen, T., Sointu, E., Kukkonen, J., Kontkanen, S., Lambert, M. C., & Mäkitalo-Siegl, K. (2017). TPACK updated to measure pre-service teachers' twenty-first century skills. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *33*(3), 15–31.
- WEFUSA. (2015). New Vision for Education Unlocking the Potential of Technology. www.weforum.org
- Widana, I. W. (2020). The Effect of Digital Literacy on the Ability of Teachers to Develop HOTS-based Assessment. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1503(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1503/1/012045</u>
- Zeng, Y., Wang, Y., & Li, S. (2022). The relationship between teachers' information technology integration self-efficacy and TPACK: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1091017